

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY PCT JAMES A. FLIGHT RECEIVED GROSSMAN & FLIGHT, LLC 20 NORTH WACKER DRIVE **SUITE 4220** WRITTEN OPINION CHICAGO, IL 60606 APR 2 3 2004 (PCT Rule 66) IGROSSMAN & FLIGHT, LLC Date of Mailing 20 APR 2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing 20004/83-WO Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 11 December 2002 (11.12.2002) PCT/US02/39619 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): C06K 9/00 and US Cl.: 382/115,100,118,116,117; 348/169,170,171,172 Applicant NIELSEN MEDIA RESEARCH, INC This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to great an extension. See rule 66.2(d). When? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. How? For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6 If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 11 April 2005 (1 1.04.2005) Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Authorized officer Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents zarian Seved P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

From the

Telephone No. (703) 306-5907



Internation pplication No.	
PCT/US02/39619	

ί.	Basis	of the opinion
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
		the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-40 pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the letter of the claims: pages NONE as originally filed pages NONE as originally filed pages NONE pages NONE as as mended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE filed with the demand pages 41-48 filed with the letter of 17 October 2003 (17,10,2003)
		the drawings: pages 1-16 , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of the sequence listing part of the description: pages NONE , as originally filed
		pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	The	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nuage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. see elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following languagewhich is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
l	3. Wi opi	th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written nion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
١	4. 🗵	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	5. [the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. 32 the drawings, sheets/fig NONE This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
١		lacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box I) (July 1998)

WRITTEN OPINION

_		
International	application	No

PCT/US02/39619

m.	III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
 The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: 			
Σ	₪	the entire international application,	
	j	claims Nos	
		because:	
٥		the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination (specify):	
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	
		" = F	
		the state of the s	
		17. V X	
(the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.	
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos			
		ritten opinion cannot be drawn due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:	
[the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.		
the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.			
Form	PCT	Г/IPEA/408 (Box III) (July 1998)	



International application No. PCT/US02/39619

with regard to nove statement	lty, inventive step or ind	lustrial applicability;
Claims 1-32		YES
Claims NONE		NO
Claims 1-32		YES
Claims NONE		NO
		YES
		NO NO
Citalis Hone		
CT Article 33(2)-(4), be	cause the prior art does not	teach a blob discriminator that
a shape outliner based	on difference images develo	ped by a motion detector
	*	
	*	
i	A.	
	-	
	Claims 1-32 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE	Claims 1-32 Claims 1-32 Claims NONE Claims 1-32 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims 1-32 Claims None CT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not a shape outliner based on difference images develor a shape outliner based on difference images develor.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998)

WD	TTEN	OPIN	ION

International	application	No

Sunn	lemes	ıtal	Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Supplemental Box) (July 1998)